IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ANTHONY DENARD,

Petitioner,

No. CIV S-02-2639 LKK KJM P

VS.

MIKE KNOWLES,

Respondent. <u>ORDER</u>

Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262.

On March 9, 2006, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on petitioner and which contained notice to petitioner that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within ten days. Petitioner has not filed objections to the findings and recommendations.

Although it appears from the file that petitioner's copy of the findings and recommendations were returned, petitioner was properly served. It is the petitioner's responsibility to keep the court apprised of his current address at all times. Pursuant to Local Rule 83-182(f), service of documents at the record address of the party is fully effective.

Case 2:02-cv-02639-LKK-KJM Document 31 Filed 03/30/06 Page 2 of 2

1	The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be
2	supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY
3	ORDERED that:
4	1. The findings and recommendations filed March 9, 2006, are adopted in full;
5	and
6	2. This action is dismissed for petitioner's failure to follow court orders under
7	Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).
8	DATED: March 29, 2006.
9	
10	/s/Lawrence K. Karlton UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
11	/dena2639.802
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	